



Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The Agricultural Economics Research Review (AERR) (Print ISSN: 0971-3441 and Online ISSN: 0974-0279) publication ethics and publication malpractice statement is mainly based on the *Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors* (Committee on Publication Ethics, 2011) available at www.publicationethics.org.

EDITORS' RESPONSIBILITIES

Publication decisions and accountability

The editor is responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the journal will be published after peer-review, and, moreover, is accountable for all publications in the journal. The editor will evaluate manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The decision will be based on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, and the study's validity and its relevance to the journal's scope, and the editor may consult with other editors and/or reviewers in this regard. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism will be considered in taking editorial decisions. The editor should maintain the integrity of the academic record, preclude business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards, and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies when needed.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

The editor will be guided by the COPE's guidelines on disclosure, conflicts of interest and other issues, if any pertaining to the articles published in the AERR. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the editor or the members of the editorial board for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review process will be kept confidential and not used for any personal advantage. The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint, or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on the editorial decisions.

REVIEWERS' RESPONSIBILITIES

Contribution to editorial decisions

The peer-reviewing process assists the editor and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and withdraw from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify cases in which relevant published work referred to in the paper has not been cited in the reference section. They should point out whether observations or arguments derived from other publications are accompanied by the respective source. Reviewers will notify the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

AUTHORS' DUTIES

Reporting standards

Authors of original research reports should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented

accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data access and retention

Authors could be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the paper for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals for at least ten years after publication (preferably *via* an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data center), provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release.

Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources

Authors will submit only entirely original works and will appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited or quoted.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

In general, papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal. Submitting the same paper to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Manuscripts which have been published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, manuscripts under review by the journal should not be resubmitted to copyrighted publications. However, by submitting a manuscript, the author(s) retain the rights to the published material. In case of publication, they permit the use of their work under a CC-BY license, which allows others to copy, distribute and transmit the work as well as to adapt the work and to make commercial use of it, provided that appropriate credit is given to the author (BY).

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors.

The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and to cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.

PUBLISHER RESPONSIBILITIES

Editorial autonomy

Agricultural Economics Research Review is committed to working with editors to clearly define the respective roles of publisher and editors in order to ensure the autonomy of editorial decisions, without any influence from the advertisers or other commercial partners.

Intellectual property and copyright

We protect the intellectual property and copyright of Agricultural Economics Research Review, its imprints, authors and publishing partners by promoting and maintaining each article's published version of record. Agricultural Economics Research Review ensure the integrity and transparency of each published article with respect to conflicts of interest, publication and research funding, publication and research ethics, cases of publication and research misconduct, confidentiality, authorship, article corrections, clarifications and retractions, and timely publication of the content.

Scientific misconduct

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication, or plagiarism the publisher, in close collaboration with the editors, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of a correction statement or erratum or, in the most severe case, the retraction of the affected work.

SANCTIONS

In the event that there are documented violations of Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement, the following sanctions will be applied:

- Immediate rejection of the infringing manuscript.

- Immediate rejection of all other manuscript submitted to the journal published by the Agricultural Economics Research Association by any of the authors of the infringing manuscript.
- Prohibition against all of the authors for any new submissions to the journal published by the Agricultural Economics Research Association, either individually or in combination with other authors of the infringing manuscript, as well as in combination with any other authors. This prohibition will be imposed for a minimum of 36 months.
- Prohibition against all of the authors from serving on the Editorial Board of the journal published by the Agricultural Economics Research Association.
- In cases where the violations of the Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement are found to be particularly egregious, the publisher reserves the right to impose additional sanctions beyond those described above.

References

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2011, March 7). *Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors*. Retrieved from http://publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf

<http://publicationethics.org/files/2008%20Code%20of%20Conduct.pdf>